



Engineering Report 89

Issue 1 2016

Specification of d.c. Time Constants for
Switchgear

PUBLISHING AND COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

© 2016 *Energy Networks Association*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written consent of Energy Networks Association. Specific enquiries concerning this document should be addressed to:

**Operations Directorate
Energy Networks Association
6th Floor, Dean Bradley House
52 Horseferry Rd
London
SW1P 2AF**

This document has been prepared for use by members of the Energy Networks Association to take account of the conditions which apply to them. Advice should be taken from an appropriately qualified engineer on the suitability of this document for any other purpose.

First published, September 2016

Amendments since publication

Issue	Date	Amendment
Issue 1	September, 2016	<p>This EREP represents a cosmetic revision of the original EREC G89 Issue 1.</p> <p>The original document has been subject to editorial changes necessary to comply with the conventions and formatting in the ENA engineering document template and Engineering Recommendation ER G0 rules, for structure, drafting and presentation of ENA engineering documents.</p> <p>There are no technical changes between this EREP and the original EREC G89 Issue 1.</p>

Contents

Foreword	5
Introduction.....	6
1 Scope.....	6
2 Normative references.....	6
3 Terms and definitions.....	7
4 Key elements of fault current for switchgear ratings.....	8
5 Fault level studies undertaken by ENA members	12
6 Analysis of the survey results.....	13
7 Derivation of recommended ratings.....	14
8 Conclusions	17
Annex A (informative) Test Network for Benchmark Study.....	19
A.1 BENCHMARK NETWORK DATA FOR ASG/OSG X/R SUB-GROUP.....	20
A.1.1 NODE DATA.....	20
A.1.2 BRANCH DATA.....	21
A.1.3 TRANSFORMER DATA	22
A.1.4 LOAD DATA	23
A.1.5 GENERATOR/MOTOR DATA (Parameters in p.u. on machine rating)	23
A.1.6 EQUIVALENT INDUCTION MOTOR INFEED (Equivalent generator model).....	24
A.1.7 INDUCTION MOTOR PARAMETERS.....	25
Annex B (informative) Comparison of Study Results from Benchmark Network.....	26
Annex C (informative) Network survey results	33
Annex D (informative) Benchmark network results	35
Annex E (informative) Distribution of d.c. time constants.....	39
Bibliography.....	43

Figures

Figure 1 — Typical a.c. fault current contributions.....	8
Figure 2 — Total a.c. fault current contribution.....	9
Figure 3 — AC & DC fault current contributions.....	10
Figure 4 — Total fault current.....	10
Figure D.1 — 132 kV three phase fault levels.....	35
Figure D.2 — 132 kV single phase fault levels.....	35
Figure D.3 — 66 kV fault levels.....	36
Figure D.4 — 33 kV fault levels.....	36
Figure D.5 — 20 kV - 25 kV fault levels	37
Figure D.6 — 11 kV fault levels.....	37

Figure D.7 — 6.6 kV fault levels 38
Figure E.1 — 132 kV three phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 39
Figure E.2 — 132 kV single phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 39
Figure E.3 — 66 kV three phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 40
Figure E.4 — 33 kV three phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 40
Figure E.5 — 20 kV - 25 kV three phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 41
Figure E.6 — 11 kV three phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 41
Figure E.7 — 6 kV - 6.6 kV three phase d.c. time constant frequency distribution 42

Tables

Table 1 — Number of circuit-breakers used in the survey of fault data 12
Table 2 — Analysis of Fault levels from survey 13
Table 3 — DC time constants 14
Table 4 — Ratings (tested d.c. time = 45 ms) to address 90/95/99% of study requirements 15
Table 5 — Ratings (tested d.c. time = 120 ms) to address 90/95/98% of study requirements 16
Table 6 — Circuit-breaker equivalent R10 ratings for 45 ms d.c. time constant 17
Table 7 — Circuit-breaker equivalent R10 ratings for 120 ms d.c. time constant 17
Table 8 — Switchgear short circuit breaking current ratings for incorporation in ENA Technical Specifications 18
Table B.1 — Peak Make Current (kA) 26
Table B.2 — Peak Break Current at 50 ms (kA) 27
Table B.3 — AC Current at 50 ms (kA) 28
Table B.4 — % d.c. at 50 ms 29
Table B.5 — Peak Break Current at 90 ms (kA) 30
Table B.6 — a.c. at 90 ms (kA) 31
Table B.7 — % d.c. at 90 ms 32
Table C.1 — Summary Of Circuit Breaker 3-Phase Fault Data 33
Table C.2 — 3-Phase Fault Current DC Time Constant Percentiles (ms) 33
Table C.3 — Frequency Distribution of 3-Phase Fault Current DC Time Constant 34

Foreword

This Engineering Report (EREP) is published by the Energy Networks Association (ENA) and comes into effect from the date of publishing. It has been prepared under the authority of the ENA Engineering Policy and Standards Manager and has been approved for publication by the ENA Electricity Networks and Futures Group (ENFG). The approved abbreviated title of this engineering document is “EREP 89”, which replaces “Engineering Recommendation (EREC) G89, Issue 1”.

EREC G89, *Specification of DC Time Constants for Switchgear* [N1], was first published in 2011 to disseminate the results and findings of an ENA Working Group which reviewed time constants found in typical UK DNO networks. The Working Group was formed because at the time, ENA members were concerned that the switchgear specification of a 45 ms d.c. time constant may be inadequate for the UK network at 132kV and below. The Working Group established the requirements of the network (via fault level studies) and developed recommendations which have subsequently been implemented into appropriate ENA switchgear specifications.

The recommendations from G89 have subsequently been added to ENA TS 41-36 [N2] and TS 41-37 [N3] respectively. After a review of EREC G89 Issue 1 in 2016 by ENA Members, it was decided that the results from the Working Group should be published in an Engineering Report, and hence the basis of this EREP.

The 2016 review concluded that the original results were still valid there was no technical justification to amend the document. However, EREC G74 Issue 1 [N4] is under revision in 2016, and should any changes to the guidance for calculating X/R ratio be implemented, then the original G89 results may require updating. The completion of EREC G74 revision work should prompt whether this is required or not.

Introduction

Time constants contribute to the peak fault current that circuit-breakers see under fault conditions, and are determined by X/R parameters at a point where the fault takes place on the network. Electrical Engineers are often concerned that specified time constants are appropriate for circuit-breakers so they are capable of clearing a fault under make or break conditions. ENA members were concerned that the specification of a 45 ms d.c. time constant may be inadequate for the UK network at 132 kV and at voltages below.

International standards define circuit-breaker terminal fault making & breaking capability in terms of a rated a.c. r.m.s. current and a 100% d.c. component decaying with a single time constant. The standard value of d.c. time constant defined in circuit-breaker standard BS EN 62271-100 [N5] is 45 ms; a value which corresponds well to the d.c. time constant of overhead lines but which is significantly less than that of transformers (typically well in excess 100 ms). Special case alternative values of 75 ms and 120 ms which can be selected by users are also included in the standard as user defined options.

EREC G89 Issue 1 was published in 2011 to disseminate the results of an ENA Working Group, which considered time constant issues with circuit-breakers, from 2005 to 2011. G89 provided recommendations and associated justification to amend two ENA switchgear Technical Specifications referenced in Annex A. The recommendations applied to primary type circuit-breakers and did not include distribution type switchgear (e.g. Ring Main Units).

This EREP presents the findings, as published in the original 2011 document, which describe the:

- key elements of fault current for switchgear ratings;
- fault level studies undertaken by ENA members;
- analysis of the survey results;
- derivation of recommended ratings for inclusion within ENA Technical Specifications.

It is important to note that this report is presented on the basis of worst case, single phase fault conditions which result in the most onerous potential fault current interruption conditions for the circuit-breaker.

1 Scope

This Engineering Report applies to switchgear at UK primary DNO substations and above; it does not apply to UK distribution substations.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents, in whole or part, are indispensable for the application of this EREP. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Standards publications

BS EN 62271-100: 2009 & A1 2012, *High-voltage switchgear and controlgear. Alternating current circuit-breakers*

Other publications

[N1] ENA EREC G89, Issue 1: 2011, *Specification of DC Time Constants for Switchgear*

[N2] ENA TS 41-36, Issue 3: 2012, *Switchgear for Service up to 36 kV (Cable and Overhead Conductor Connected)*

[N3] ENA TS 41-37, Issue 2: 2015, *Switchgear for use on 66 kV to 132 kV distribution systems (Alternating current circuit-breakers)*

[N4] ENA EREC G74, Issue 1: 1992, *Procedure to meet the requirements of BS EN 909 for the calculation of short-circuit currents in three-phase AC power systems*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this EREP, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

a.c.

alternating current

3.2

d.c.

direct current

3.3

ENA

Energy Networks Association

3.4

r.m.s.

root mean square

3.5

SAP

switchgear assessment panel

3.6

X/R

ratio of reactance and resistance determining a d.c. offset asymmetrical fault current